



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,259	10/29/2001	Tucker L. Smith	9696	2587
7590 JAMES M. STOVER, ESQ. NCR CORPORATION LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-4 1700 S. PATTERSON BOULEVARD DAYTON,, OH 45479			EXAMINER LASTRA, DANIEL	
			ART UNIT 3688	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/29/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/008,259	SMITH ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		DANIEL LASTRA	3688
<i>--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</i>			
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
<p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</p>			
<p>a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</p>			
<p>b) <input type="checkbox"/> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</p>			
<p>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</p>			
<p>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</p>			
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).</p>			
AMENDMENTS			
<p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because</p>			
<p>(a) <input type="checkbox"/> They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</p>			
<p>(b) <input type="checkbox"/> They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</p>			
<p>(c) <input type="checkbox"/> They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</p>			
<p>(d) <input type="checkbox"/> They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</p>			
<p>NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</p>			
<p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</p>			
<p>5. <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. </p>			
<p>6. <input type="checkbox"/> Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</p>			
<p>7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) <input type="checkbox"/> will not be entered, or b) <input type="checkbox"/> will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.</p>			
<p>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</p>			
<p>Claim(s) allowed: _____. </p>			
<p>Claim(s) objected to: _____. </p>			
<p>Claim(s) rejected: 1-24. </p>			
<p>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. </p>			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<p>8. <input type="checkbox"/> The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</p>			
<p>9. <input type="checkbox"/> The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</p>			
<p>10. <input type="checkbox"/> The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</p>			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<p>11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <i>See Continuation Sheet.</i></p>			
<p>12. <input type="checkbox"/> Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____. </p>			
<p>13. <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. </p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">/Raquel Alvarez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688</p>			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues with respect to the 112 rejection that it is clear that those skilled in the art would understand what is claimed by the claims 1 and 13 language when read in light of the specification. The Examiner answers that the Applicant is simply mentioning that a skilled in the art would understand said claims without discussing if the Examiner's interpretation of said claims is correct or incorrect. The Applicant argues that Blasko does not disclose "generating a user identifier key from the key data and a user profile history from the extracted profile data in response to the key data corresponding to a key stored in the memory and the extracted profile data failing to correlate to the user profile history stored in the memory in association with the key stored in the memory". The Applicant further argues that Blasko only describes correlating a user ID with stored profile information. The Examiner answers that the Applicant is simply repeating the claimed language without interpreting said claimed language. The Examiner answers that Blasko teaches that each transaction (television viewing over predetermined period) is recognized by a profile ID (i.e. generated user identifier key; see paragraph 21) and the MAC-ID (i.e. key or identifier of the server generating the profile vector; see paragraph 20) of the set top box. The current profile vector generated with the profile ID is compared with previously stored profile vector to select suitable advertisements using collaborative filtering techniques (see paragraph 21) and based on the identifying attributes in the profile IDs (i.e. transaction ID, transaction level, profiling content' see figure 3, paragraph 72), sets of profiles are linked or correlated (see paragraph 66). Therefore, contrary to Applicant's argument, in Blasko, if a generated profile vectors do not correlate to stored profile vectors by not correlating the profile ID attributes from said generated profile vectors to the stored profile vectors, Blasko generates a new profile vector, which is not merged with previously stored profile vectors. Therefore, contrary to Applicant's argument, Blasko teaches Applicant's claimed invention.